Information & Technology Governance

Executive Committee Meeting Minutes

# May 20, 2024

Location: LAW 115; Online, via Zoom

# In attendance

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | In-person | Remote |  |
| Chairs | x |  | Andreas Bohman |
| x |  | Sarah Norris Hall |
| Members | x |  | Lou Cariello |
|  | x | Charles Costarella |
|  |  | Anind Dey |
|  | x | Sheila Edwards Lange |
|  |  | Kristin Esterberg |
|  | x | Mary Gresch |
|  | x | Mindy Kornberg |
|  |  | Fredrick Nafukho |
|  |  | Simon Neame |
|  |  | Eric Neil |
|  | x | Mari Ostendorf |
|  |  | Phil Reid |
|  | x | Jacqueline Cabe |
| x |  | Denzil Suite |
|  | x | Joy Williamson-Lott |
| Guests |  | x | Xiaosong Li |
|  | x | Xiao Zhu |
|  | x | Brent Holterman |
| x |  | Joshua Halbert |
| Governance Operations |  | x | Piet Niederhausen |
| x |  | Rupert Berk |
| x |  | Taifa Harris |
|  | x | Christine Dean |

Link to meeting materials:

# Minutes

**Welcome**

**Discussion: Husky Card Governance**

* Denzil Suite and Joshua Halbert provided the group an overview of the Husky Card Program and the associated governance through the Husky Card Access Governance Committee
* Topics covered included uses, finances, technology limitations, potential future initiatives.
  + Two sources of funding include Dining Accounts & ID Cards.
    - 60% of funding is from general operations funds
    - 40% is paid from the expectation of someone losing card and needs to be replaced. A more reliable source of funding is needed for this portion.
  + The University cannot leverage the embedded chip for its own needs, per the existing contract with King County Metro which owns the chip, but this is being discussed.
  + Re-carding the population is a significant financial and operational cost, so leveraging the existing chip would be more economic.
  + Any project proposals for improvements should consider the budget cycle.
* A key question was how Husky Card Governance should relate to I&T Governance.
  + No vote was taken, but general support was expressed for including Husky Card Governance within I&T, possibly within Enterprise Technology or Information Security & Privacy domains.

**Discussion: AI Task Force Governance**

* The group briefly discussed a straw model proposal for an AI Oversight Committee initially located within I&T Governance and responsible for routing and tracking recommendations from the AI Task Force.
* Some concerns were expressed about locating the committee within I&T when many of the issues and recommendations are not I&T in nature.
* Andreas will take feedback from the group back to the AI Task Force Executive Committee.

**Discussion: Data Governance Domain Update**

* The group reviewed themes that have been emerging from the stakeholders interviewed so far.
* Based on those themes, the straw model will:
  + Tie UW Data Governance to I&T Governance
  + Continue essential operational data governance work
  + Create a forum for cross-domain operational coordination
  + Create a forum for data strategy
* The group briefly considered and discussed how to minimize duplicate discussions and work across groups, both within a data governance structure and across I&T domains that intersect with data governance.

**Closing**

* The next meeting is 6/24.